PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	ΓY				
To: PAUL FENSTER FENSTER & COMPANY, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LTD.		PCT			
P.O. BOX 10256 PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year	28 NOV 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION			
414/04405			See paragraph 2 below		
International application No. Inter	national filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/IL05/00141 04 February 2005		.2005)	05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	national classificati	on and IPC			
IPC(7): A61H 1/00 and US Cl.: 601/5					
Applicant	-				
REABILITY INC.					
1 This leading and the state of					
1. This opinion contains indications relating to	the following items):			
Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI Certain documents					
Box No. VII Certain defects in the	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary ex International Preliminary Examining Author	ority ("IPEA") exce A and the chosen IP	ept that this does EA has notified the	be considered to be a written opinion of the not apply where the applicant chooses an le International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) dered:		
IPEA a written reply together, where appropriating of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the	ropriate, with amen e expiration of 22 mo	dments, before ti	PEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the he expiration of 3 months from the date of ority date, whichever expires later.		
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220) .				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/I	ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450	Date of completio opinion 30 August 2005 (3)		Authorized officer For Michael Brown Luly		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201		,	Telephone No. 571-272-4972		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/00141

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).
2. With claim	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a .	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
ъ.	format of material
	on paper
	in electronic form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additio	onal comments:
·	
em DCT/	ISA/237(Box No. T) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL05/00141

1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 4-9	YES
	Claims 1-3 and 10-35	No
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 4-9	YES
	Claims 1-3 and 10-35	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-35	YES
	Claims NONE	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-3 and 10-35 lack novelty under PCT Article 37(2) as being anticipated by Dempster et al.

Claims 1-3 and 10-35 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Johnson et al.

Claims 4-9 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of rehabilitation including a first actuator, a second actuator, both actuators including a movement mechanism coupling the second actuator to the body to apply a different force at a specific point.

Claims 1-35 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus are industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.